

On Voiculescu's Semicircular Matrices

Liming Ge and Junhao Shen

Mathematics Department, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 03824

email: liming@math.unh.edu and jog2@cisunix.unh.edu

Abstract: Assume $\mathcal{N}$ is a von Neumann algebra of type II_1 with a tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is the von Neumann algebra of the $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{N}$ with the canonical tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of scalar diagonal matrices in $\mathcal{M}$. In this article, we study the properties of semicircular elements in $\mathcal{M}$ that are free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then we define a new concept “matricial distance” of two elements in $\mathcal{M}$ and compute the matricial distance between two free semicircular elements in $\mathcal{M}$.

1 Introduction

The theory of free probability was developed by Voiculescu in early 80's in the last century. In his influential paper [11], Voiculescu introduced concepts of semicircular and circular systems (in this paper these systems are called as “semicircular and circular matrices”) and used them to obtain the surprising relationship between free group factors. One of essential arguments in [11] is that the semicircular matrices can be obtained from the matrices over another free probability space if the entries of the matrices are, in an appropriate way, chosen to be semicircular or circular and free. Moreover, the semicircular matrices obtained in this way are free with the algebra generated by diagonal matrices over scalars (see Theorem 2.1).

More specifically, let $(\mathcal{N}, \tau_{\mathcal{N}})$ be a free probability space and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{N}$ with the canonical tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the canonical system of matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{ii}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Let $A = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ be a semicircular element of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\{a_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ are, in an appropriate way, chosen to be semicircular or circular and free in $\mathcal{N}$. (Such carefully constructed semicircular matrix will be called as Voiculescu's semicircular matrix.) (see Def. 2.5) Then Voiculescu proved his celebrated result in [11] that A and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The concept of semicircular element (or matrix) has now been a key concept in the theory of free probability and its application on von Neumann algebras. There are many further studies on semicircular elements after Voiculescu's paper. For example, the concept of R-cyclic matrices, as a generalization of Voiculescu's semicircular and circular matrices, was introduced in a remarkable paper [6]. It was proved there that a matrix A , $n \times n$ matrix over $(\mathcal{N}, \tau_{\mathcal{N}})$, is R-cyclic if and only if A is free from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{D}_n$. Some examples of R-cyclic matrices are given there.

The purpose of the paper is to further discuss some special properties of a semicircular element B in $\mathcal{M}$ when B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free in $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. The first result obtained is Theorem 3.3, an inverse statement of Voiculescu's celebrated result (see also Theorem 2.1) in [11].

Theorem: Suppose $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a semicircular element of $(0, 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. If B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$, then there is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that U^*BU is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix with $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$.

Using the preceding theorem, we are able to give characterization of semicircular elements that are free with $\mathcal{D}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$. In more details, we have

Theorem: Suppose $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$ such that B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) B is a semicircular element in $\mathcal{M}$.
- (ii) There is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that U^*BU is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$.

- (iii) For all i, j , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $b_{ij}^* b_{ij}$ and b_{jj} are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (iv) There are some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$, $b_{i_0 j_0}^* b_{i_0 j_0}$ and $b_{j_0 j_0}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (v) For all i, j , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $b_{ij} b_{ij}^*$ and b_{ii} are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (vi) There are some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$, $b_{i_0 j_0} b_{i_0 j_0}^*$ and $b_{i_0 i_0}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Therefore, under the conjugation of diagonal unitary matrices, Voiculescu's semicircular matrix is the unique form of semicircular elements that are free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Moreover, under the assumption that the self-adjoint element B is free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$, a very weak condition on freeness among entries of B (for example an off diagonal entry $a_{i_0 j_0}$ and a diagonal entry $a_{j_0 j_0}$ in same column are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$), will imply that B is a semicircular element (also see Theorem 3.1 and 3.2). In this sense, Voiculescu's semicircular matrix is the only self-adjoint matrix that is free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and has free entries inside.

The second half of this paper is devoted to study another aspect of semicircular elements, which is inspired by [9], a remarkable paper by S. Popa. In [9], by showing that any standard generator u_g of free group factors $L(F(n))$ is not contained in any hyperfinite II_1 subfactor of $L(F(n))$ (also see [2]), S. Popa answered an open question asked by R. Kadison (see [4]). Since the hyperfinite II_1 factor can be “approximated” by large sized type I_k factors, S. Popa's result can be interpreted in the following way. Suppose that u_g and u_h are two different standard generators of $L(F(n))$ (hence they are free with each other). Once u_g can be almost “contained” in a “large” type I_k factor M_k , then we would expect that u_h will be far away from M_k , i.e. the distance between u_h and M_k is going to be large. We define a new concept called by “matricial distance” to describe this asymptotic phenomenon of the distance between u_h and M_k that almost contains u_g . More generally, assume that A, B are two free semicircular elements of $(0, 1)$ in a type II_1 factor $\mathcal{M}$. (Note, here, we do not require $\mathcal{M}$ to be a free group factor). For every positive integer k and every family of mutually orthogonal equivalent projections $P_1, \dots, P_k$ with sum I in $\mathcal{A}$, the abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by A in $\mathcal{M}$, let $\mathcal{M}_k$ be any type I_k factor in $\mathcal{M}$ such that the diagonal projections of M_k are $\{P_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. Let E_k be the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto M_k . Then we showed in Proposition 4.1 that $\|E_k(B)\|_2 \leq 7/\sqrt[8]{k}$, or the matricial distance between two free semicircular elements in $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to 1.

Further calculation of matricial distance between two free self-adjoint elements, not required to be semicircular, will be carried out in our forthcoming paper.

The organization of the paper is as follows. The basic knowledge is reviewed in section 2. Section 3 is devoted to prove “uniqueness” of Voiculescu's semicircular matrix. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix to be semicircular and free with $\mathcal{D}_n$ are given in Theorem 3.5. As a corollary, the result of “free compression” in [7] is reproved in the same section. Proposition 4.1 is proved in section 4.

2 Preliminary

A *free probability space* is a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ where $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a normal state ([5]). We assume that $\mathcal{M}$ has a separable predual and τ is a faithful normal tracial state ([5]). (So that $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra with a trace). Elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are called non-commuting *random variables*.

Definition 2.1 ([14]) *The distribution of a random variable A in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a linear functional μ on $\mathbb{C}[x]$, the polynomial ring with variable x and coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$, such that $\mu(\psi(x)) = \tau(\psi(A))$ for all $\psi(x)$ in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.*

Definition 2.2 *A semicircular element A in $\mathcal{M}$ is one whose distribution $\gamma_{a,r}$ satisfies the “semi-circle law”, one whose distribution $\gamma_{a,r}$ (centered at a in $\mathbb{R}$ with radius $r > 0$) maps $\mathbb{C}[x]$ to $\mathbb{C}$ according to the equation:*

$$\gamma_{a,r}(\psi) = \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \int_{a-r}^{a+r} \psi(t) \sqrt{r^2 - (t-a)^2} dt.$$

Such A is called a semicircular element of (a, r) .

The positive element H in $\mathcal{M}$ is called a quarter-circular element of (a, r) if there is a semicircular element of (a, r) , B , in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $H = \sqrt{B^*B}$.

Definition 2.3 ([14]) *The joint distribution of a family of random variables $A_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$, in $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a linear functional μ on $\mathbb{C}\langle x_i, i \in \mathcal{I} \rangle$, the noncommutative polynomial ring with noncommuting variables x_i , such that $\mu(\psi(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})) = \tau(\psi(A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_n}))$ for every ψ in $\mathbb{C}\langle x_i, i \in \mathcal{I} \rangle$.*

Definition 2.4 ([14]) *The von Neumann subalgebras $\mathcal{M}_i, i \in \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ are free with respect to the trace τ if $\tau(A_1 \dots A_n) = 0$ whenever $A_j \in \mathcal{M}_{i_j}, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n$ and $\tau(A_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and every n in $\mathbb{N}$. (Note that i_1 and i_3 , for example, may be equal: “adjacent” A_i s are not in the same $\mathcal{M}_i$). A family of self-adjoint elements $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is free with respect to the trace τ if the von Neumann subalgebras $\mathcal{M}_i$ generated by the A_i are free with respect to the trace τ .*

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ be $\mathcal{N} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some integer n in $\mathbb{N}$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the canonical system of matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{ii}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the canonical trace on $\mathcal{M}$ defined as:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(A) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(a_{ii}),$$

for every $A = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.5 A self-adjoint element $A = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is called Voiculescu's semicircular matrix if the following hold:

- (a) $\{Re\ a_{i,j}, Im\ a_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ is a family of free elements in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$
- (b) $Re\ a_{i,j}$ and $Im\ a_{i,j}$ are semicircular elements of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$.
- (c) Each $a_{i,n}$ is a quarter-circular element of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i < n - 1$.
- (d) Each $a_{j,j}$ is a semicircular element of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 2.6 A family of self-adjoint elements $\{A_k = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is called a standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices if the following hold:

- (a) $\{Re\ a_{i,j}^{(k)}, Im\ a_{i,j}^{(k)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ is a family of free elements in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$
- (b) $Re\ a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ and $Im\ a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ are semicircular elements of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1, 1 \leq k \leq m$.
- (c) Each $a_{i,n}^{(1)}$ is a quarter-circular element of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i < n - 1$.
- (d) $Re\ a_{i,n}^{(k)}$ and $Im\ a_{i,n}^{(k)}$ are semicircular elements of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1, 2 \leq k \leq m$.
- (e) Each $a_{i,i}^{(k)}$ is a semicircular element of $(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m$.

Following the notations as above, Voiculescu proved the following remarkable theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (From [11]) Suppose $\{A_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is a standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices. Then $\{\mathcal{D}_n, A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$.

3 Uniqueness of Voiculescu's Semicircular Matrix

Following the notations from preceding section, we let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, and $\mathcal{M}$ be $\mathcal{N} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some integer n in $\mathbb{N}$ with the canonical tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be the canonical system of matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Our next proposition shows that if two self-adjoint elements share certain properties of freeness and agree on first two moments then they have the same distributions.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that $B = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$, $X = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} x_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ are two self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$ (with b_{ij} 's and x_{ij} 's in $\mathcal{N}$) such that, for some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$, the following hold.*

- (a) B and $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_0 i_0}, I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{j_0 j_0}\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
- (b) X and $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_0 i_0}, I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{j_0 j_0}\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
- (c) $b_{j_0 i_0} b_{i_0 j_0} (= b_{i_0 j_0}^* b_{i_0 j_0})$ and $b_{j_0 j_0}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$;
- (d) $x_{j_0 i_0} x_{i_0 j_0} (= x_{i_0 j_0}^* x_{i_0 j_0})$ and $x_{j_0 j_0}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$;
- (e) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^2) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^2)$.

Then $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$ for every $m \geq 1$.

Proof: We need only to prove the proposition under the assumption that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B) = 0$, $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^2) = 1$. Otherwise we let $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\|B - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B)\|_2} (B - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B))$ and $\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{\|X - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X)\|_2} (X - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X))$. Then $\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{X}$ are self-adjoint elements that satisfy all conditions in the proposition and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{B}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{X}) = 0$, $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{B}^2) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{X}^2) = 1$. The result that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{B}^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{X}^m)$ for every $m \geq 1$ will imply that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$.

If it brings no confusion, we will write $I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{ij}$ as e_{ij} .

Claim I: $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij} b_{ji}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{ij} x_{ji})$, $\forall i, j \in \{i_0, j_0\}$.

Note that we have, for any $i \in \{i_0, j_0\}$, $j \in \{i_0, j_0\}$ and any A in $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{ii} A e_{jj} A) &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{ii} - \frac{1}{n}) A (e_{jj} - \frac{1}{n}) A) - \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{jj} - \frac{1}{n}) A A) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{ii} - \frac{1}{n}) A A) + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(A A) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from that facts $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X) = 0$, $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^2) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^2) = 1$ and conditions (a), (b), that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{ii} B e_{jj} B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{ii} X e_{jj} X) \quad \forall i, j \in \{i_0, j_0\}. \quad (1)$$

i.e.

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij} b_{ji}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{ij} x_{ji}) \quad \forall i, j \in \{i_0, j_0\}.$$

Instead of proving $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$ for every $m \geq 1$, we are going to prove a stronger result.

Claim II: For each positive integer m , we have

- (i) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0}(Be_{j_0 j_0})^{m-1}B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0}(Xe_{j_0 j_0})^{m-1}X).$
- (ii) $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{j_0 j_0})^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((x_{j_0 j_0})^m).$
- (iii) For $2 \leq l \leq m$, $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_l \leq m$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_l \leq m + 1$, and $s_1, \dots, s_l \in \{i_0, j_0\}$, we have $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})B^{t_1} \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})B^{t_l}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})X^{t_1} \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})X^{t_l}).$
- (iv) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0}(Be_{j_0 j_0})^m B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0}(Xe_{j_0 j_0})^m X)$
- (v) $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{m+1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{m+1})$

The claim will be proved by the induction on m .

The case when $m = 1$: (i), (ii) are directly from conditions (a) and (b). (iv) is equation (1). (v) is trivial.

The case when $m = 2$: (i), (ii) are from equation (1) directly. For any $1 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq 2$, $t_1 + t_2 \leq 3$ and $\{s_1, s_2\} \subset \{i_0, j_0\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})B^{t_1}(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})B^{t_2}) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_1} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}) + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}))(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_2} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}) + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}))) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_1} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}))(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_2} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}))) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}))(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_2} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}))) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_1} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}))(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}))) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}))(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}))) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1})\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2})\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})) \quad (\text{because of condition (a)})
\end{aligned}$$

Similar argument shows that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})X^{t_1}(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})X^{t_2}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_1})\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_2})\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n}))$$

Note that $1 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq 2$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X)$, $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^2) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^2)$. We have that (iii) holds for $m = 2$.

As for (iv), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (B e_{j_0 j_0})^2 B) &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_0 j_0} b_{j_0 j_0} b_{j_0 i_0}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{j_0 j_0}) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{j_0 i_0} b_{i_0 j_0}) && \text{(because of condition (c))} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{j_0 j_0}) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{j_0 i_0} x_{i_0 j_0}) && \text{(because of equation (1))} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_0 j_0} x_{j_0 j_0} x_{j_0 i_0}) && \text{(because of condition (d))} \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (X e_{j_0 j_0})^2 X)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove (v). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (B e_{j_0 j_0})^2 B) \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) B) \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B) + \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B B) + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B B B) + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B B) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^3} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B B B) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^3} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^3) && \text{(because of condition (c))}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, similar computation shows that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (X e_{j_0 j_0})^2 X) = \frac{1}{n^3} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^3)$$

Combining with (iv), we know that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^3) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^3)$.

The case when $m = k + 1$: Assume that (i), to (v) hold when $m = k$. Consider $m = k + 1$. We

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (B e_{j_0 j_0})^k B) &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_0 j_0} (b_{j_0 j_0})^{k-1} b_{j_0 i_0}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{j_0 i_0} b_{i_0 j_0}) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{j_0 j_0})^{k-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{j_0 i_0} x_{i_0 j_0}) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((x_{j_0 j_0})^{k-1}) \\
&\quad \text{(because of (ii) of induction hypothesis)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_0 j_0} (x_{j_0 j_0})^{k-1} x_{j_0 i_0}) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (X e_{j_0 j_0})^k X)
\end{aligned}$$

So, (i) holds when $m = k + 1$. From (v) of induction hypothesis, we know that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^i) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$. Since B and $e_{i_0 i_0}$ are free, we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} B)^{k+1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} X)^{k+1}).$$

It follows that $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{i_0 i_0})^{k+1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((x_{i_0 i_0})^{k+1})$. Therefore (ii) holds.

As for (iii), when $2 \leq l \leq k + 1$, $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_l \leq k + 1$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_l \leq k + 2$, and $s_1, \dots, s_l \in \{i_0, j_0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n}) B^{t_1} \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n}) B^{t_l}) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_1} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}) + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1})) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_l} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_l}) + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_l}))) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_1} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1})) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_l} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_l}))) \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_2} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2})) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})(B^{t_l} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_l}))) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_1}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_2}) \dots \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{t_l}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_3 s_3} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})) \\
&= 0 \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_1}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(X^{t_2} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_2})) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})(X^{t_l} - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_l}))) \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_1}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_2}) \dots \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{t_l}) \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_2 s_2} - \frac{1}{n})(e_{s_3 s_3} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n})) \\
&\quad \text{(because } \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^i) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^i) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k + 1 \text{ and } B \text{ (or } X) \text{ is free from } \{e_{i_0 i_0}, e_{j_0 j_0}\}) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{s_1 s_1} - \frac{1}{n}) X^{t_1} \dots (e_{s_l s_l} - \frac{1}{n}) X^{t_l}),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (iii) for $m = k + 1$.

As for (iv), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (B e_{j_0 j_0})^{k+1} B) &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_0 j_0} (b_{j_0 j_0})^k b_{j_0 i_0}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{j_0 j_0})^k) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{j_0 i_0} b_{i_0 j_0}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((x_{j_0 j_0})^k) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{j_0 i_0} x_{i_0 j_0}) \quad (\text{because of (ii).}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_0 j_0} (x_{j_0 j_0})^k x_{j_0 i_0}) \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (X e_{j_0 j_0})^{k+1} X)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to prove (v). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (B e_{j_0 j_0})^{k+1} B) \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) \dots B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) B) \\
 &= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B) \quad (= 0) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^2 (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n})) + \dots \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^3 (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots B (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n})) + \dots \\
 &\quad + \dots \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B^{k+2}) + \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) B^{k+2}) + \dots \quad (= 0) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{k+2})
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, similar computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(e_{i_0 i_0} (X e_{j_0 j_0})^{k+1} X) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) \dots X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) X) \\
&= \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) X) \quad (= 0) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^2 (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n})) + \dots \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^3 (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) \dots X (e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n})) + \dots \\
&\quad + \dots \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{i_0 i_0} - \frac{1}{n}) X^{k+2}) + \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((e_{j_0 j_0} - \frac{1}{n}) X^{k+2}) + \dots \quad (= 0) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n^{k+2}} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{k+2})
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with (iii) and (iv), we know that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^{k+2}) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^{k+2})$. i.e. (v) is proved for $m = k + 1$. Q.E.D

Note that Voiculescu's semicircular matrix always satisfies all conditions in Proposition 3.1. Now we have

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$, is a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$ (with b_{ij} 's in $\mathcal{N}$) such that, for some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$,

1. B and $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_0 i_0}, I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{j_0 j_0}\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
2. $b_{i_0 j_0}^* b_{i_0 j_0}$ and $b_{j_0 j_0}$ are free in $\mathcal{N}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Then B is a semicircular element of $(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B), \|B\|_2)$.

Proof: Let X be a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, and $\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{\|B - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B)\|_2} (B - \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B))$. Using Proposition 3.1, we have that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{B}^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence B is a semicircular element of $(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B), \|B\|_2)$. Q.E.D.

By switching the order of i and j , same arguments as Corollary 3.1 will show the following.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$, is a self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$ (with b_{ij} 's in $\mathcal{N}$) such that, for some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$,

1. B and $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_0 i_0}, I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{j_0 j_0}\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
2. $b_{i_0 j_0} b_{i_0 j_0}^*$ and $b_{i_0 i_0}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Then B is a semicircular element of $(\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B), \|B\|_2)$.

Our next result describes the uniqueness of Voiculescu's semicircular matrix. Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{ii}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 3.2 Suppose that $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ and $X = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} x_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ are two self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$ (with b_{ij} 's and x_{ij} 's in $\mathcal{N}$), such that

1. B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
2. X and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$;
3. $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$ for all $m \geq 1$;
4. b_{in}, x_{in} are positive elements in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
5. The spectrum of x_{in} has no atom as the operator in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;

Then, $\{b_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $\{x_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ have the identical $*$ -joint distribution in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof: Note that B (or X) is free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(X^m)$ for all $m \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_1 i_1})B(I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_2 i_2})B \cdots (I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_m i_m})B) \\ = \tau_{\mathcal{M}}((I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_1 i_1})X(I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_2 i_2})X \cdots (I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{i_m i_m})X), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_3} \cdots b_{i_m i_1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_2 i_3} \cdots x_{i_m i_1}), \quad (2)$$

for all $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{in} b_{ni})^m) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((x_{in} x_{ni})^m), \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Since x_{in} is a positive element whose spectrum has no atom as the operator in $\mathcal{N}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, by functional calculus, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{N}} \in \{x_{in}\}'' &= \text{the von Neumann subalgebra generated by } x_{in} \text{ in } \mathcal{N}. \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}^{SOT} \{x_{in}^{2t} : 1 \leq t \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}^{SOT} \{x_{in}^{2t-1} : 1 \leq t \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

By (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{N}} \in \{b_{in}\}'' &= \text{the von Neumann subalgebra generated by } b_{in} \text{ in } \mathcal{N}. \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}^{SOT} \{b_{in}^{2t} : 1 \leq t \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}^{SOT} \{b_{in}^{2t-1} : 1 \leq t \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

To prove the proposition, we will show the following lemma first.

Lemma 3.1 *Following the notions as above, we have that, for any $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{2m} \leq n$,*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_3 i_4} \cdots b_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_3 i_4} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}) \quad (4)$$

Proof of Lemma: For $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{2m} \leq n$, let i_{2m+1} be i_1 and

$$\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2m}] = \{i_{2j} \mid i_{2j} \neq i_{2j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset \{i_2, i_4, \dots, i_{2m}\}.$$

Denote by l the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2m}]$. There are two cases to be considered, (i) $m = 1$ and (ii) $m \geq 2$.

(i): When $m = 1$ and $l = 0$, (4) follows directly from (2). When $m = l = 1$, we need to show that $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2})$ for $i_1 \neq i_2$. If one of i_1 or i_2 is equal to n , then $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_1 i_2}^*) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_2 i_1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_1 i_2}^*)$ by (3). Therefore $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2})$ because both $b_{i_1 i_2}$ and $x_{i_1 i_2}$ are positive. If none of i_1 and i_2 is equal to n , it induces from (2) that, for all t_1, t_2 in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ni_1} (b_{i_1 n} b_{ni_1})^{t_1} b_{i_1 i_2} (b_{i_2 n} b_{ni_2})^{t_2} b_{i_2 n}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{ni_1} (x_{i_1 n} x_{ni_1})^{t_1} x_{i_1 i_2} (x_{i_2 n} x_{ni_2})^{t_2} x_{i_2 n}).$$

or (note that both $b_{i_1 n}$ and $x_{i_1 n}$ are positive.)

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}((b_{i_1 n})^{2t_1+1} b_{i_1 i_2} (b_{i_2 n})^{2t_2+1}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((x_{i_1 n})^{2t_1+1} x_{i_1 i_2} (x_{i_2 n})^{2t_2+1}), \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

From (*), (**) it follows that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2})$$

(ii): When $m \geq 2$, we will use induction on l now.

Since (4) holds when $l = 0$, we might assume that (4) holds for $l \leq k$. Consider the case when $l = k + 1$. We need to show that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_3 i_4} \cdots b_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} x_{i_3 i_4} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}), \quad (5)$$

when the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2m}]$ is equal to $k + 1$. Since $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a tracial state, we can assume that $i_2 \neq i_3$. There are three cases we have to consider: (a) $i_2 = n$, $i_3 \neq n$, (b) $i_2 \neq n$, $i_3 = n$, (c) $i_2 \neq n$, $i_3 \neq n$.

(a): Assume that $i_2 = n$, $i_3 \neq n$. Since cardinality of $\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_{2m}]$ is equal to $k + 1$, the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, \overbrace{i_2, i_3, i_3, i_2}, \dots, \overbrace{i_2, i_3, i_3, i_2}, \overbrace{i_2, i_3, i_3, i_2}, i_4, \dots, i_{2m}]$$

is equal to k (here $i_2 = n$). By hypothesis, we have that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 n} (b_{ni_3})^{2t-1} b_{i_3 i_4} \cdots b_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}) = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 n} (x_{ni_3})^{2t-1} x_{i_3 i_4} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From $(*)$, $(**)$, we have that (5) holds for this family of $\{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}\}$.

(b): The proof of the case when $i_2 \neq n$, $i_3 = n$ is similar as (a).

(c): Assume that $i_2 \neq n$, $i_3 \neq n$. Since cardinality of $\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_{2m}]$ is equal to $k + 1$, the cardinality of

$$\mathcal{S}[i_1, i_2, \overbrace{i_2, n, n, i_2}, \dots, \overbrace{i_2, n, n, i_2}, \overbrace{i_2, n, n, i_3}, \overbrace{i_3, n, n, i_3}, \dots, \overbrace{i_3, n, n, i_3}, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_{2m}]$$

is equal to k (here both i_2, i_3 are not equal to n). By hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{i_1 i_2} (b_{i_2 n})^{2t_1-1} (b_{n i_3})^{2t_2-1} b_{i_3 i_4} \cdots b_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}) \\ = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(x_{i_1 i_2} (x_{i_2 n})^{2t_1-1} (x_{i_3 n})^{2t_2-1} x_{i_3 i_4} \cdots x_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m}}), \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

From $(*)$, $(**)$, we have that (5) holds for this family of $\{i_1, \dots, i_{2m}\}$.

Hence the induction is completed, and the lemma is proved. Q.E.D.

Continue the proof of the Proposition 3.2: Note that B and X are two self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$. Thus $b_{ij} = b_{ji}^*$ and $x_{ij} = x_{ji}^*$. From the preceding Lemma, we know that the $*$ -joint distribution of the family of elements $\{a_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is identical to the $*$ -joint distribution of the family of elements $\{x_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$. Q.E.D.

Now we can prove our main theorems in this section.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a semicircular element of $(0, 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. If B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$, then there is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $U^* B U$ is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$.

Proof: Let $u_i h_i$ be the polar decomposition of b_{in} in $\mathcal{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $u_n = I_{\mathcal{N}}$, $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$ and $\tilde{B} = U^* B U = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} u_i^* b_{ij} u_j \otimes e_{ij}$. Let X be a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix. It is easy to check that $\tilde{B}$ and X satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.2. Hence $\{u_i^* b_{ij} u_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $\{x_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ have identical $*$ -joint distributions in $\mathcal{N}$. It follows that $\tilde{B}$ is also a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix. Q.E.D.

The proofs of Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 can be easily extended to the case of m -tuple of semicircular matrices, $B_1, \dots, B_m$ when $\{B_1, \dots, B_m, \mathcal{D}_n\}$ are free with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. We present the following theorem whose proof is skipped.

Theorem 3.4 Suppose $B_k = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes e_{ij}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ is a sequence of semicircular elements of $(0, 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\{B_1, \dots, B_m, \mathcal{D}_n\}$ are free in $\mathcal{M}$, then there is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\{U^* B_k U\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is a standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remark: Theorem 3.3 can be viewed as the inverse statement of (Voiculescu's) Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.1 Suppose $B_k = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes e_{ij}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ is a sequence of semicircular elements of $(0, 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\{B_1, \dots, B_m, \mathcal{D}_n\}$ are free in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if there is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\{U^* B_k U\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is a standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}$.

Combining with Theorem 3.1, we have the following characterization of semicircular elements in $\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 3.5 Suppose $B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$ and free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) B is a semicircular element in $\mathcal{M}$.
- (ii) There is a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $U^* B U$ is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$.
- (iii) For all i, j , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $b_{ji}^* b_{ij}$ and b_{jj} are free in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (iv) There are some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$, $b_{i_0 j_0}^* b_{i_0 j_0}$ and $b_{j_0 j_0}$ are free in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (v) For all i, j , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $b_{ij} b_{ij}^*$ and b_{ii} are free in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.
- (vi) There are some i_0, j_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \neq j_0 \leq n$, $b_{i_0 j_0} b_{i_0 j_0}^*$ and $b_{i_0 i_0}$ are free in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is from Theorem 3.3. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is from Definition 2.5. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is trivial. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is from Theorem 3.1. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v) is from Definition 2.5. (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) is trivial. (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is from Theorem 3.2. Q.E.D.

As a corollary of Theorem 3.4, we prove the following result of “free compression” in [7].

Corollary 3.2 Suppose $A_k = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes e_{ij}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ is a sequence of self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\{A_1, \dots, A_m, \mathcal{D}_n\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ are free in $\mathcal{M}$, then

- (i) $\{a_{ii}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{ii}^{(m)}\}$ is a free family in $\mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (ii) $\{a_{ij_1}^{(1)} a_{ij_1}^{(1)*}, \dots, a_{ij_m}^{(m)} a_{ij_m}^{(m)*}\}$ is a free family in $\mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq i, j_1, \dots, j_m \leq n$.

Proof: We can assume that there exists a family of semicircular elements $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\{X_1, \dots, X_m, \mathcal{D}_n\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ are free in $\mathcal{M}$ and each A_k is contained in the von Neumann subalgebra generated by X_k in $\mathcal{M}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that there exists a family of unitary elements $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\{U^* X_k U\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$ is a standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices, where $U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} u_i \otimes e_{ii}$. Assume that $X_k = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} x_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes e_{ij}$. Therefore, from the definition of standard family of Voiculescu's semicircular matrices, we have $\{Re u_i^* x_{i,j}^{(k)} u_j, Im u_i^* x_{i,j}^{(k)} u_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ is a family of free elements in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Note that each A_k can be approximated by the polynomials of X_k in 2-norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. So $u_i^* a_{ii}^{(k)} u_i$ can be approximated by the polynomials of $\{Re u_s^* x_{s,t}^{(k)} u_t, Im u_s^* x_{s,t}^{(k)} u_t \mid 1 \leq s, t \leq n\}$ in 2-norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. Since $\{Re u_i^* x_{i,j}^{(k)} u_j, Im u_i^* x_{i,j}^{(k)} u_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ is a family of free elements in $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$, we have that $\{u_i^* a_{ii}^{(1)} u_i, \dots, u_i^* a_{ii}^{(m)} u_i\}$ is a free family in $\mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\{a_{ii}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{ii}^{(m)}\}$ is a free family in $\mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Similarly, we also have $\{a_{ij_1}^{(1)} a_{ij_1}^{(1)*}, \dots, a_{ij_m}^{(m)} a_{ij_m}^{(m)*}\}$ is a free family in $\mathcal{N}$ for each $1 \leq i, j_1, \dots, j_m \leq n$.

4 Matricial distance between two free semicircular elements.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type II_1 with the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ for some positive integer n and a type II_1 subfactor $\mathcal{N}$ with the tracial state $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be the canonical system of matrix units of $\mathcal{M}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the subalgebra generated by $\{I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes e_{ii}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Let E_n be the conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$.

Proposition 4.1 *If B is a semicircular element of $(0,1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$, which is free from $\mathcal{D}_n$ with respect to the trace $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$, then*

$$\|E_n(B)\|_2 \leq \frac{7}{n^{1/8}}.$$

The proof of the proposition will be given in later subsection. We need to prove a few lemmas first.

4.1 Definition of $\tilde{x}$: perturbation of a semicircular element x

Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with a tracial state τ . Let u be a Haar unitary element in $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the diffused abelian von Neumann subalgebra generated by u in $\mathcal{N}_1$. There is a $*$ -isomorphism ψ from $\mathcal{A}_1$ onto $L^\infty([0, 1], m)$ such that $\psi(u) = e^{2\pi i \cdot t}$, where m is the Lebesgue

measure on $[0, 1]$. Let

$$s(t) = \int_{-r}^t \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \sqrt{r^2 - t_1^2} dt_1, \quad \text{for } t \in [-r, r].$$

So,

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}; \quad \frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\pi r^2}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}},$$

and

$$s(-r) = 0; \quad s(r) = 1.$$

Let $t = g(s)$ be the inverse function of $s = s(t)$. Then $g(s) \in L^\infty([0, 1], m)$, $g(0) = -r$, $g(1) = r$, and $\frac{dt}{ds} = g'(s)$. Since

$$\int_0^1 g(s)^m ds = \int_{-r}^r g(s(t))^m \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} dt = \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \int_{-r}^r t^m \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} dt,$$

we know that $\psi^{-1}(g(s))$ defines an operator x , a semicircular element of $(0, r)$, in $\mathcal{A}_1$. Let

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(r)}{r^2} s^2 & 0 \leq s \leq r \\ g(s) & r < s \leq 1 - r \\ \frac{g(1-r)}{r^2} (1-s)^2 & 1-r \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.1 Define $\tilde{x}$, the perturbation of a semicircular element x , to be $\psi^{-1}(f(s))$, the corresponding element of $f(s)$ in $\mathcal{A}_1$.

Lemma 4.1 Assume that x is a semicircular element of $(0, r)$ in the abelian von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ generated by the Haar unitary u . And $\tilde{x}$ is defined as in Def. 4.1. Then we have

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} |\tau(\tilde{x}u^k)| \leq \sqrt{5}r^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof: From the construction of $\tilde{x}$, we have

$$\tau(\tilde{x}u^k) = \int_0^1 f(s) e^{2\pi i k s} ds = -\frac{1}{2\pi i k} \int_0^1 f'(s) e^{2\pi i k s} ds$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} |\tau(\tilde{x}u^k)| \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i k} \right| \cdot \left| \int_0^1 f'(s) e^{2\pi i k s} ds \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i k} \right|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k \neq 0} \left| \int_0^1 f'(s) e^{2\pi i k s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq \int_0^1 |f'(s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^r |f'(s)|^2 ds &= \int_0^r \frac{|g(r)|^2}{r^4} (2s)^2 ds = \frac{4}{3} \frac{|g(r)|^2}{r} \leq \frac{4}{3}r \quad (\text{since } |g(r)| \leq r) \\
\int_{1-r}^1 |f'(s)|^2 ds &\leq \frac{4}{3}r \quad (\text{similar as the preceding one}) \\
\int_r^{1-r} |f'(s)|^2 ds &= \int_r^{1-r} |g'(s)|^2 ds = \int_{g(r)}^{g(1-r)} \left| \frac{dt}{ds} \right|^2 \frac{ds}{dt} dt \\
&= \int_{g(r)}^{g(1-r)} \left(\frac{\pi r^2}{2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{r^2 - t^2} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi r^2} \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} dt \\
&= \frac{\pi r^2}{2} \int_{g(r)}^{g(1-r)} \frac{d(\frac{t}{r})}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{t}{r})^2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi r^2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \leq r, \quad (\text{when } r \text{ is small enough.})
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 |f'(s)|^2 ds &= \int_0^r |f'(s)|^2 ds + \int_r^{1-r} |f'(s)|^2 ds + \int_{1-r}^1 |f'(s)|^2 ds, \\
&\leq \frac{4}{3}r + \frac{4}{3}r + r \leq 5r; \\
\left(\sum_{k \neq 0} |\tau(\tilde{x}u^k)| \right)^2 &\leq \int_0^1 |f'(s)|^2 ds \leq 5r; \\
\sum_{k \neq 0} |\tau(\tilde{x}u^k)| &\leq \sqrt{5r}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Using the preceding notations, we have the following inequality.

Lemma 4.2 *For any two elements $\{w_1, w_2\}$ in $\mathcal{N}_1$ with $\|w_i\| \leq 1$, we have*

$$|\tau(w_1 x w_2)|^2 \leq |\tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2)|^2 + 6r^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

Proof: By the definition of $\tilde{x}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 &= \int_0^1 |g(s) - f(s)|^2 ds \\
&\leq \int_0^r |g(s) - \frac{g(r)}{r^2} s^2|^2 ds + \int_{1-r}^1 |g(s) - \frac{g(1-r)}{r^2} (1-s)^2|^2 ds \\
&\leq \int_0^r |g(s)|^2 ds + \int_{1-r}^1 |g(s)|^2 ds \\
&\text{(as } g(r) \leq 0, g(s) \leq 0 \text{ when } 0 \leq s \leq r \text{ and } g(1-r) \geq 0, g(s) \geq 0 \text{ when } 1-r \leq s \leq 1) \\
&\leq r^2 \cdot r + r^2 \cdot r = 2r^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any two elements w_1, w_2 in $\mathcal{N}_1$ with $\|w_1\|, \|w_2\| \leq 1$, we have

$$|\tau(w_1 x w_2) - \tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2)|^2 \leq \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2 \leq 2r^3.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\tau(w_1 x w_2)|^2 - |\tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2)|^2| \\
&\leq |\tau(w_1 x w_2) - \tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2)| \cdot (|\tau(w_1 x w_2)| + |\tau(w_1 x w_2) - (\tau(w_1 x w_2) - \tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2))|) \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} r^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|x\|_2 + \|x\|_2 + \sqrt{2} r^{\frac{3}{2}}) < 6r^{\frac{5}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$|\tau(w_1 x w_2)|^2 \leq |\tau(w_1 \tilde{x} w_2)|^2 + 6r^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

4.2 Some analysis on free group factors

Let Σ be an index set, $F(\Sigma)$ be the free group with the standard generators $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$. Let λ be the left regular representation of $F(\Sigma)$ and $L(F(\Sigma))$ be the free group factor associated with the group $F(\Sigma)$ with standard generators $\{\lambda(g_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$.

Fix some index α in Σ . We are going to group the elements of $F(\Sigma)$ into following sets. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
ES &= \{w \in F(\Sigma) \mid w \text{ is a reduced word ending with } g_\alpha^m \text{ such that } m \neq 0\} \\
SS &= \{w \in F(\Sigma) \mid w \text{ is a reduced word starting with } g_\alpha^n \text{ such that } n \neq 0\} \\
ET &= F(\Sigma) \setminus ES, \\
ST &= F(\Sigma) \setminus ET.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that every element g in ES can be expressed as ag_α^m for some reduced word a (not ending with g_α) and nonzero integers m ; and every element h in SS can be expressed as $g_\alpha^n b$ for some reduced word b (not starting with g_α) and nonzero integers n . Then for every w in $L(F(\Sigma))$, we

get the expression of w as

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \sum_{g \in ET \cup ES} w(g)u_g = \sum_{ag_\alpha^m \in ES} \mathfrak{E}(a, m)\lambda(a)\lambda(g_\alpha)^m + \sum_{a \in ET} \mathfrak{E}(a, 0)\lambda(a) \\ w &= \sum_{h \in ST \cup SS} w(h)u_h = \sum_{g_\alpha^n b \in SS} \mathfrak{S}(a, m)\lambda(g_\alpha)^n\lambda(b) + \sum_{b \in ST} \mathfrak{S}(b, 0)\lambda(b) \end{aligned}$$

where $w(\cdot)$, $\mathfrak{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\mathfrak{S}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the scalars. By allowing m, n equal to zero, we can simply express w as

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \sum_{ag_\alpha^m \in F(\Sigma)} \mathfrak{E}(a, m)\lambda(a)\lambda(g_\alpha)^m \\ w &= \sum_{g_\alpha^n b \in F(\Sigma)} \mathfrak{S}(b, n)\lambda(g_\alpha)^n\lambda(b) \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the norms $\|\cdot\|_{(\alpha, E)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(\alpha, S)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{(\alpha, E)} &= \sqrt{\sum_{g \in ES} |w(g)|^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{ag_\alpha^m \in ES} |\mathfrak{E}(a, m)|^2} \\ \|w\|_{(\alpha, S)} &= \sqrt{\sum_{h \in SS} |w(h)|^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{g_\alpha^n b \in SS} |\mathfrak{S}(b, n)|^2} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that, for every w in $L(F(\Sigma))$, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \|w\|_{(\alpha, E)}^2 \leq \|w\|_2^2, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \|w\|_{(\alpha, S)}^2 \leq \|w\|_2^2. \quad (\#)$$

4.3 Another Estimation

Following the notations from preceding subsection, we let Σ be the index set; λ be the left regular representation of $F(\Sigma)$ and $L(F(\Sigma))$ be the free group factor with the standard generators $\{\lambda(g_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$. For each $\alpha \in \Sigma$, let y_α be any self-adjoint element in the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\lambda(g_\alpha)$ such that $\tau(y_\alpha) = 0$.

Fix some index α from Σ . Let w_1, w_2 be two elements in $L(F(\Sigma))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \sum_{ag_\alpha^m \in F(\Sigma)} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, m)\lambda(a)\lambda(g_\alpha)^m \\ w_2 &= \sum_{g_\alpha^n b \in F(\Sigma)} \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(b, n)\lambda(g_\alpha)^n\lambda(b) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(\cdot, \cdot), \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the scalars. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(w_1 y_\alpha w_2) &= \tau \left(\sum_{a,b,m,n} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, m) \lambda(a) \lambda(g_\alpha)^m y_\alpha \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(b, n) \lambda(g_\alpha)^n \lambda(b) \right) \\ &= \sum_{a,b,m,n} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, m) \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(b, n) \tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^{m+n}) \lambda(g_\alpha)^n \lambda(b) \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \sum_{a,m=0,n \neq 0} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, 0) \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n) \tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^n) \\ I_2 &= \sum_{a,m \neq 0,n=0} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, m) \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, 0) \tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^m) \\ I_3 &= \sum_{a,m \neq 0,n \neq 0} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, 0) \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n) \tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^{m+n})\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 *Let y_α be a self-adjoint element in the von Neumann subalgebra generated by Haar unitary $\lambda(g_\alpha)$ such that $\tau(y_\alpha) = 0$. We have the following inequalities.*

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| &\leq \|w_1\|_2 \|w_2\|_{\alpha,S} \|y_\alpha\|_2 \\ |I_2| &\leq \|w_1\|_{\alpha,E} \|w_2\|_2 \|y_\alpha\|_2 \\ |I_3| &\leq \|w_1\|_{\alpha,E} \|w_2\|_{\alpha,S} \left(\sum_k |\tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^k)| \right)\end{aligned}$$

Proof: From Cauchy Schwartz inequality, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}|I_1| &\leq \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\sqrt{\sum_a |\mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, 0)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_a |\mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n)|^2} \right) |\tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^n)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_a |\mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, 0)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{a,n \neq 0} |\mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{n \neq 0} |\tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^n)|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{a \in ET} |\mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, 0)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{g_\alpha^n a^{-1} \in SS} |\mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n)|^2} \|y_\alpha\|_2 \\ &\leq \|w_1\|_2 \|w_2\|_{\alpha,S} \|y_\alpha\|_2\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|I_2| \leq \|w_1\|_{\alpha,E} \|w_2\|_2 \|y_\alpha\|_2$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &= \sum_{a,k \neq 0, n \neq 0} \mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, k-n) \mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n) \tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^k) \\
&\leq \sum_{k \neq 0} \left(\sqrt{\sum_{ag_\alpha^n \in ES} |\mathfrak{E}_{w_1}(a, k-n)|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{g_\alpha^n a^{-1} \in SS} |\mathfrak{S}_{w_2}(a^{-1}, n)|^2} \right) |\tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^k)| \\
&\leq \|w_1\|_{\alpha, E} \|w_2\|_{\alpha, S} \left(\sum_k |\tau(y_\alpha \lambda(g_\alpha)^k)| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

4.4 Proof of Proposition 4.1

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Note that B can be written as $\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ with b_{ij} in $\mathcal{N}$ and

$$\|E_n(B)\|_2^2 = \|E_n(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij})|^2.$$

Since the semicircular element B and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are free with respect to the trace $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}$, by Theorem 3.5, there are unitary elements $u_1, \dots, u_n$ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $UBU^* = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, where $U = \sum_i u_i \otimes e_{ii}$. Therefore,

$$B = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{ij} \otimes e_{ij} = U^* U B U^* U = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (u_i a_{ij} u_j^*) \otimes e_{ij}, \quad \text{so } b_{ij} = u_i a_{ij} u_j^*.$$

where $\tau(a_{ij}) = 0$ and $\tau(a_{ij} a_{ij}^*) = 1/n$. We have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij})|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{in})|^2 \tag{6}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{nj})|^2 \tag{7}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij})|^2 \tag{8}$$

From Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{in})|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{in} u_n)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{in} a_{in}^* u_i^*) \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_n^* u_n)| \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(7) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{nj})|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Now we estimate (8),

$$\begin{aligned} (8) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij})|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{ii} u_i^*)|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \\ &= 0 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i a_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i x_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$+ \frac{4}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i y_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \quad (10)$$

(where x_{ij}, y_{ij} are the real and imaginal parts of a_{ij} .)

Note that, since $UBU^* = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij}$ is a Voiculescu's semicircular matrix, $\{x_{ij}, y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1}$ is a free family of semicircular elements of $(0, r)$ with $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}$.

Let Σ be the index set $\{\langle i, j \rangle\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ be the von Neumann subalgebra generated by $\{x_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1}$ in $\mathcal{N}$, which is $*$ -isomorphic to the free group factor $L(F(\Sigma))$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_1$ is a subfactor of $\mathcal{N}$. By [10], there exists a family of vectors $\{\xi_s\}_{s \in I} \subset L^2(\mathcal{N}, \tau_{\mathcal{N}})$ such that, for each u_i ,

$$u_i = \sum_s \xi_s E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(\xi_s^* u_i) = \sum_s \xi_s w(i, s),$$

where $w(i, s) = E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(\xi_s^* u_i) \in \mathcal{N}_1$, and $E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(\xi_s^* \xi_t) = \delta_{st} f_s$, with f_s projections in $\mathcal{N}_1$. And it is easy to see that

$$\sum_s \|f_s w(i, s)\|_2^2 = \|u_i\|_2^2. \quad (##)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i x_{ij} u_j^*) &= \sum_{s_1, s_2} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(\xi_{s_1} w(i, s_1) x_{ij} w(j, s_2)^* \xi_{s_2}^*) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, s_2} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(E_{\mathcal{N}_1}(\xi_{s_2}^* \xi_{s_1})(w(i, s_1) x_{ij} w(j, s_2)^*)) \\ &= \sum_{s_1} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)) x_{ij} (f_{s_1} w(j, s_1))^*) \end{aligned}$$

For every $\alpha = \langle i, j \rangle$, $w_1 = f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)$, $w_2 = (f_{s_1} w(j, s_1))^*$, $x = x_{ij}$ and $y_\alpha = \tilde{x}$, we applied Lemma 4.1 and 4.3, and obtained the following.

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}((f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)) \tilde{x}_{ij} (f_{s_1} w(j, s_1))^*))| &\leq \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_2 \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot r \\ &\quad + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_2 \cdot r \\ &\quad + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot \sqrt{5} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(u_i \tilde{x}_{ij} u_j^*)| &= \left| \sum_{s_1} \tau_{\mathcal{N}}((f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)) x_{ij} (f_{s_1} w(j, s_1))^*) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_2 \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot r \\ &\quad + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_2 \cdot r \\ &\quad + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot \sqrt{5} r^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i x_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 &\leq |\tau(u_i \tilde{x}_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 + 6r^{\frac{5}{2}} \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_2 \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \cdot r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_2 \cdot r) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot \sqrt{5} r^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 + 6r^{5/2} \\ &\leq 3 \left| \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_2 \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot r) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 3 \left| \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_2 \cdot r) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 3 \left| \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, E \rangle} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{\langle \langle i, j \rangle, S \rangle} \cdot \sqrt{5} r^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 6r^{5/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 3r^2 \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 3r^2 \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 15r \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 6r^{5/2} \\
&\leq 3r^2 \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2) \quad (\text{because of } (\#\#)) \\
&\quad + 15r \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 6r^{5/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) &\leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(u_i x_{ij} u_j^*)|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left(3r^2 \sum_{s_1} (\|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 + \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 15r \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{s_1} \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 \right) + 6r^{5/2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{12r^2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \sum_{s_1} \sum_i \|f_{s_1} w(j, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, S)}^2 + \frac{12r^2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{s_1} \sum_j \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{60r}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{s_1} \sum_j \|f_{s_1} w(i, s_1)\|_{(\langle i, j \rangle, E)}^2 + \frac{24r^{5/2}}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} 1 \\
&\leq \frac{12r^2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} 1 + \frac{12r^2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} 1 + \frac{60r}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} 1 + \frac{24r^{5/2}}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} 1 \\
&\quad (\text{because of } (\#) \text{ and } (\#\#)) \\
&\leq 24r^2 + 60r + 12r^{5/2}n
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(10) \leq 24r^2 + 60r + 12r^{5/2}n.$$

Combining all of the above, we have

$$\|E_n(B)\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij})|^2 \leq \frac{2}{n} + 48r^2 + 120r + 24r^{5/2}n \leq 49\sqrt{r} < \frac{49}{\sqrt[4]{n}},$$

where $2r^2 = \tau_{\mathcal{N}}(b_{ij}b_{ij}^*) = \frac{1}{n}$. Q.E.D.

4.5 Definition of matricial distance of two elements

Definition 4.2 Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type II_1 with the traical state τ . Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a diffused abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be the set consisting of the type I_n subfactors $\mathcal{M}_n$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ is a n -dimensional subalgebra. Then, for any element b in $\mathcal{M}$, the matricial distance between $\mathcal{A}$ and b is defined as,

$$MatD(\mathcal{A}, b) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\mathcal{M}_n \in \mathcal{S}_n} \{ \|b - E_{\mathcal{M}_n}(b)\|_2, \text{ where } E_{\mathcal{M}_n} \text{ is the conditional expectation from } \mathcal{M} \text{ onto } \mathcal{M}_n \}$$

Definition 4.3 Let a be a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$ such that a generates a diffused abelian von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Then, for any element b in $\mathcal{M}$, the matricial distance between a and b is defined as

$$MatD(a, b) = MatD(\mathcal{A}, b).$$

Definition 4.4 Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two diffused abelian von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$. Then, the matricial distance between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as

$$MatD(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \inf \{ MatD(\mathcal{A}, b) / \|b\|_2 \mid b \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } E_{\mathcal{A}}(b) = 0 \}.$$

From Proposition 4.1, we can easily have

Theorem 4.1 Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor of type II_1 . Suppose that a and b are two free semicircular elements of $(0, 1)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then

$$MatD(a, b) = 1.$$

Question: It is very interesting to consider the following question: Suppose $\mathcal{R}$ is the hyperfinite II_1 factor and $\mathcal{A}$ is a maximal abelian self-adjoint subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}$. Does there exist some x in $\mathcal{R}$ but not contained in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $MatD(\mathcal{A}, x) = 0$?

Remark: A positive answer to the preceding question, combining with Theorem 4.1, will imply Popa's result that any one of standard generator of free group factor $L(F(n))$ is not contained in any hyperfinite II_1 subfactor of $L(F(n))$.

Remark: The further computation of matricial distance between two free self-adjoint elements in a type II_1 factor will be carried out in our forthcoming paper.

Bibliography

1. L. Ge and S. Popa, "On some decomposition properties for factors of type II_1 ," *Duke Math. J.*, 94 (1998), 79–101.
2. L. Ge "On maximal injective subalgebras of factors," *Adv. Math.* 118 (1996), no. 1, 34–70
3. L. Ge and J. Shen, "Applications of free entropy on finite von Neumann algebras, III," *GAFA*, 12 (2002), no. 3, 546–566.
4. R. Kadison, "Problems on von Neumann algebras," Paper given at the Conference on Operator Algebras and Their Applications, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La., 1967
5. R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, "Fundamentals of the Operator Algebras," vols. I and II, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983 and 1986.
6. A. Nica; D. Shlyakhtenko; R. Speicher " R -cyclic families of matrices in free probability." *J. Funct. Anal.* 188 (2002), no. 1, 227–271.
7. A. Nica; R. Speicher On the multiplication of free N -tuples of noncommutative random variables. *Amer. J. Math.* 118 (1996), no. 4, 799–837.
8. S. Popa, "On a problem of R. V. Kadison on maximal abelian $*$ -subalgebras in factors," *Invent. Math.* 65 (1981/82), no. 2, 269–281.
9. S. Popa, "Maximal injective subalgebras in factors associated with free groups," *Adv. in Math.* 50 (1983), no. 1, 27–48.
10. S. Popa, "Classification of subfactors of finite depth of the hyperfinite type III_1 factor," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* 318 (1994), no. 11, 1003–1008.
11. D. Voiculescu, "Circular and semicircular systems and free product factors," *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory* (Paris, 1989), 45–60, *Progr. Math.*, 92, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
12. D. Voiculescu, "The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory II," *Invent. Math.*, 118 (1994), 411–440.
13. D. Voiculescu, "The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory III: The absence of Cartan subalgebras," *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 6 (1996) 172–199.
14. D. Voiculescu, K. Dykema and A. Nica, "Free Random Variables," CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1992.